

Anexo 3

**Academic year 2017-2018
EVALUATION RUBRIC**

PhD Program on Information and Communications Technology of the University of Vigo

Objective: Evaluation of the research activities carried out and defence of the research plan for the coming year. Precisely, it will be assessed the ability to effectively communicate scientific concepts and ideas to a scientific audience. The evaluation committee evaluates this requirement for all PhD candidates annually. This rubric will be completed by the evaluation committee and by the advisor(s)

Name of student: _____ Joao Vagarinho _____

Date of exam: ___ Skype _____

Name(s) of reviewers: _____ Martín Llamas Nistal _____

Signatures: LLAMAS
NISTAL MARTIN
- 34929141F

Firmado digitalmente por LLAMAS
NISTAL MARTIN - 34929141F
Nombre de reconocimiento (DN): c=ES,
serialNumber=34929141F, sn=LLAMAS
NISTAL, givenName=MARTIN,
cn=LLAMAS NISTAL MARTIN - 34929141F
Fecha: 2019.02.07 10:49:55 +01'00'

Criteria	Good	Fair	Poor	SCORE
General (Layout of the poster) Poster Format Data Presentation in Tables and Figures References	Poster is organized correctly and clearly written. Appropriate content is in each section of poster. Data are presented clearly and accurately in tables & figures Appropriate references and format	Majority of poster is organized correctly. Appropriate content found in majority of sections, but some information is misplaced. Some sections require additional clarification. Data presentation may be incomplete or lacking clarity. Some inappropriate citations and Format.	Poster is not organized properly. Majority of the information is placed in wrong section or missing. Data presentation in tables and figures is incomplete and lacks clarity. Few/zero citations listed.	GOOD

Motivation of the work Background & Technical Need Putting research in the context of the field	Clear description of the long-term technical goals will this work serve.	Fair description of the long-term technical goals will this work serve.	Poor description of the long-term technical goals will this work serve.	
Objectives & Expected Significance	Clear statement of the question(s) that will be addressed.	Vague/unclear statement of the question(s) that will be addressed.	Statement of the question(s) that will be addressed is absent.	GOOD
Research Plan Description of experimental/theoretical approach and analysis Next year planning Planning of publications (conference and journal papers)	Clear description of the research strategy	Fair description of the research strategy	Poor or absent description of the research strategy	GOOD
Analysis/interpretation of (preliminary) results Plan for placing results obtained into current state of the field Analyzed and interpreted research results/data effectively	Clear description of the expected results and plan for evaluating the results	Fair description of the expected results and plan for evaluating the results	Poor or absent description of the expected results and plan for evaluating the results	FAIR
Critical Thinking: Demonstrated capability for independent research in the area of study, significant expertise in the area, and ability to make original contributions to the field	Good	Fair	Poor	FAIR

Quality of Communication(*): Communicated research results and implications clearly and professionally in both (a) written and (b) oral form.	Good	Fair	Poor	FAIR
---	------	------	------	------

(*) The advisors should only take into account the quality of written communication

Overall Assessment: The assessment of the overall performance of the student based on the evidence provided in items above.

Research Plan Unacceptable NOT PASS (One or more Poor Scores)	Research Plan Acceptable PASS
	PASS

Provide comments and/or suggestions mandatory in cases of poor grading, highly recommended in fair grading